Message

From: Fry, Jessica [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B8BD79D43F5A4AD1AE1A2F5FC5686D9B-FRY, JESSICA]

Sent: 4/28/2021 1:31:03 AM

To: chow, alice [chow.alice@epa.gov]

Subject: Slides for EtO Discussion
Attachments: Slides WV EtO.pptx

Hi Alice,

I'm not sure how in depth you want to go with what we're showing Cristina, but I put a few summary slides together if you want to look at them. Here's a brief breakout of what I was thinking:

Slide 1 – Quick show of the facility locations

Slide 2- A summary of the original modeling and then a table below it of the updated modeling with the risk #s, the emissions and the modeled concentrations

Slide 3 - A comparison of what the receptor grids look like in AERMOD vs HEM to explain the large difference in risk numbers between the two.

Slide 4 – A summary of the results for the facility with the locations of max receptors.

Slide 5 &6 – The same as 3 and 4 but for UCC South Charleston with Covestro included.

I'm hoping it won't be too hard to see some of the numbers, but if you want me to change anything, let me know. Also, once I get the ok from you, I'll send Jon the HEM output files that I have.

Thanks, Jessie

Jessica Fry Chamberlin

Air Quality Analysis Branch (3AD40) Air and Radiation Division USEPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 814-2122 Fax: (215) 814-2114 Email: fry.jessica@epa.gov

 \sim